#### NO. 01-21-00627-CV

# FILED IN IN THE COURT OF APPEALS 1st COURT OF APPEALS HOUSTON, TEXAS FOR THE FIRST DISTRICT OF TEXAS AT HOMESTOPHER A. PRINE Clerk

Michael W. Gioffredi,

Appellants,

v.
The Retreat at Riverstone,

Appellee.

On Appeal from the County Court at Law No. 1 Fort Bend County, Texas Tr. Ct. No. 21-CCV-068826

## APPELLEE'S RESPONSE TO APPELLANT'S REQEUST FOR SUPPLEMENTAL REPORTER'S RECORD OR NEW TRIAL

FULTON STRAHAN LAW GROUP 7676 Hillmont Street, Suite 191 Houston, Texas 77040 Tel. 713-589-6964 Fax. 832-201-8847

Sarbjit Nagi, Of Counsel Texas Bar No.: 24125337 Kevin Fulton Texas Bar No.: 24059787 service@fultonstrahan.com

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLEE THE RETREAT AT RIVERSTONE

#### TO THE HONORABLE FIRST COURT OF APPEALS:

The "Court of Appeals has broad authority to supplement the record on its own motion if anything relevant is omitted from the reporter's record, and unless supplementation will unreasonably delay disposition of the appeal, supplementation should be allowed" *GMR Gymnastics Sales, Inc., v. Walz*, 117 S.W.3d 57 (Tex. App. 2003).

However, a record cannot be supplemented with documents that were not admitted into evidence and not on file when the trial court rendered judgment. *In re E.W.*, No. 05-10-01463-CV, 2002 WL 1265541 (Tex. App. June 7, 2002). A trial record cannot be properly characterized as incomplete because it does not include documents that were not presented to the trial court or because it does not include documents that were not admitted into evidence. *Hernandez Gonzalez v. Quaterman*, No. CIVA SA-06-CA-0354 N, 2006 WL 3098776, at\*12 (W.D. Tex. Oct. 30, 2006).

Appellant in a *pro se* capacity filed a request for a Supplemental Reporter's Record or New Trial, in which Appellant states "I request under Tex. R. App. P. 34.6(d) that the appellate court direct the court reporter to prepare, certify, and file in the appellate court a supplemental reporter's record containing this omitted item." Appellant goes on to state in his request "[t]he court reporter has stated that "Exhibit 116 was never offered or admitted into evidence; therefore it was never in my possession." Appellant cites Texas Rule of Appellate Procedure 34(f); however, no

such rule exists. However, given the context of Appellant's arguments and the fact he is a *pro se* litigant, we will assume he is referencing Tex. R. App. P. 34.6(f) as it deals with when an appellant might be entitled to a new trial under specific circumstances which are listed below.

- 1) if the appellant has timely requested a reporter's record;
- 2) if, without the appellant's fault, a significant exhibit or a significant portion of the court reporter's notes and records has been lost or destroyed or--if the proceedings were electronically recorded--a significant portion of the recording has been lost or destroyed or is inaudible;
- 3) if the lost, destroyed, or inaudible portion of the reporter's record, or the lost or destroyed exhibit, is necessary to the appeal's resolution; and
- 4) if the lost, destroyed or inaudible portion of the reporter's record cannot be replaced by agreement of the parties, or the lost or destroyed exhibit cannot be replaced either by agreement of the parties or with a copy determined by the trial court to accurately duplicate with reasonable certainty the original exhibit.

Tex. R. App. P. 34.6(f) It is important to notate the difference, Rule 34.6(f) applies where the reporters record is lost or destroyed.

This is simply not the case here, Appellant in his request cites the court reporter as having stated "Exhibit was never offered or admitted into evidence therefore, it was never in my possession" as well as the information sheet by court reporters filled in this court on March 1, 2022 which states in the section labeled additional comments "Plaintiff's Exhibits were never formally offered nor admitted into evidence." Therefore, having never been offered or admitted

into evidence such documents are not entitled to be supplemented into the

Reporters record. A record cannot be supplemented with documents that were

not admitted into evidence and not on file when the trial court rendered

judgment. In re E.W., No. 05-10-01463-CV, 2002 WL 1265541 (Tex. App.

June 7, 2002).

Dated: March 11, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Sarbjit Nagi Sarbjit Nagi, Of Counsel

Texas Bar No.: 24125337

4

### **Certificate of Service**

As required by Texas Rule of Appellate Procedure 6.3 and 9.5(b), (d), (e), I certify that I have served this document on all other parties which are listed below on this 11<sup>th</sup> day of March 2022.

Appellant: Michael W. Gioffredi

Method of delivery: electronic transmission

/s/ Sarbjit Nagi
Sarbjit Nagi, Of Counsel

#### **Automated Certificate of eService**

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Chelsea Peterson on behalf of Briscoe Cain Bar No. 24073602 chelsea@fultonstrahan.com Envelope ID: 62554920 Status as of 3/14/2022 7:45 AM CST

Associated Case Party: MichaelWGioffredi

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Michael WGioffredi		MichaelGioffredi@gmail.com	3/11/2022 6:21:51 PM	SENT

Associated Case Party: A'drianna Higginbotham

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
A'drianna Higginbotham		adrianna@fultonstrahan.com	3/11/2022 6:21:51 PM	SENT

#### **Case Contacts**

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Kevin Fulton		Service@Fultonstrahan.com	3/11/2022 6:21:51 PM	SENT
Sarbjit Nagi		ssn@sartajbal.com	3/11/2022 6:21:51 PM	SENT